



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,240	10/14/2005	Kenji Kato	050638	1081
23850	7590	01/28/2009	EXAMINER	
KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP			METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
1420 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 400			1796	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE				
01/28/2009 PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,240	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KATO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel S. Metzmaier	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2005.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/2005

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-31 are pending.

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group IV, claims 9-13, in the reply filed on 23 September 2008 is acknowledged.
2. Claims 1-8 and 14-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claims. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 23 September 2008.
3. Applicants are required to provide a proper claim listing in response to this Office Action in accordance with the last paragraph of MPEP § 714 II C. (A), which states:

For any amendment being filed in response to a restriction or election of species requirement and any subsequent amendment, any claims, which are non-elected, must have the status identifier (withdrawn). Any non-elected claims which are being amended must have either the status identifier (**withdrawn**) or (**withdrawn – currently amended**) and the text of the non-elected claims must be presented with markings to indicate the changes. Any non-elected claims that are being canceled must have the status identifier (**canceled**). (Emphasis added).

***Priority***

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

***Drawings***

5. The drawings are objected to because the sole Figure should be labeled "Figure". See 37 CFR 1.81(u). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Specification***

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: any reference in the specification to "Fig. 1" should be changed to "Figure" to correspond with the sole Figure. An example may be found on page 10.

Art Unit: 1796

7. Applicants should check correctness of the reference to the "Carey-Lee" process and if it should be "Carey-Lea" process.

Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al, US 6,447,909. Kato et al (example 1) discloses the process wherein silver particles with a gold coating are made by the claimed method steps. The resulting coating composition of gold coated silver colloids had a particle size of 8.2 nm at a concentration of 68.7 % in an aqueous ethanol solution.

The claims employ open transitional language and do not exclude the further steps of coating the silver with gold. The conductivity of claim 11 would have been inherent to the Kato et al colloidal dispersions.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

Art Unit: 1796

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

12. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kato et al, US 6,447,909.

Kato et al (example 1) discloses the process wherein silver particles with a gold coating are made by the claimed method steps. The resulting coating composition of gold coated silver colloids had a particle size of 8.2 nm at a concentration of 68.7 % in an aqueous ethanol solution. The claims employ open transitional language and do not exclude the further steps of coating the silver with gold. Kato et al (column 12, lines 1-10) specifically mentions ethanol and dimethyl sulfoxide among others as suitable solvents. Kato et al clearly envisages the use of dimethyl sulfoxide solvent in making the colloidal solutions. Claim 10 is deemed to be anticipated.

To the extent Kato et al differs from claim 10 in the solvent being disclosed with sufficient specificity, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in

Art Unit: 1796

the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ dimethyl sulfoxide as a functional equivalent solvent for those solvents others disclosed and/or exemplified with an expectation of solubility characteristics of the various solvents taught therein.

To the extent Kato et al differs from the claims in the claimed characterization of the particle as fine silver particulate colloids, the silver particles alone and prior to coating are colloidal and dispersible. The method of making the colloids employs the Carey-Lea method and the methods of filtering, redispersing, concentrating, washing and diluting are all known forms of purification. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to perform the silver colloid formation steps and the coating formation steps for the advantage of a more pure form of silver colloids prior to coating with gold.

#### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**/Daniel S. Metzmaier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796**

DSM